## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Norfolk Division

RODRIGUES H.,1

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil No. 2:24cv117

CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

## FINAL ORDER

Before the Court is Plaintiff Rodrigues H.'s action under 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) seeking judicial review of the final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security ("Commissioner") denying his claim for supplemental security income under the Social Security Act. Pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and (C), Rule 72(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 72 of the Rules of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, and by order of reference dated April 18, 2024 (ECF No. 7), this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Lawrence R. Leonard for a Report and Recommendation.

On May 16, 2024, Plaintiff filed a motion for summary judgment, ECF No. 9, which the Court treats as a brief for Plaintiff's requested relief. See R&R at 1 n.2,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Committee on Court Administration and Case Management of the Judicial Conference of the United States has recommended that, due to significant privacy concerns in social security cases, federal courts should refer to claimants only by their first names and last initials.

ECF No. 13. On June 17, 2024, the Commissioner filed a brief in support of the Commissioner's decision denying benefits. ECF No. 11. On January 8, 2025, Judge Leonard filed his report and recommended that the final decision of the Commissioner be vacated and remanded. ECF No. 13. By copy of the report, each party was advised of their right to file written objections to the findings and recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge within 14 days from the date of mailing of the report. *Id.* at 21.

The Court has received no objections to the report, and the time for filing the same has expired. "[I]n the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a *de novo* review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record." *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72, Advisory Committee's Note). Finding no clear error, the Court does hereby accept the findings and recommendations set forth in the report and recommendation by Judge Leonard.

Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 13) is **ADOPTED**. For the reasons stated therein, the final decision of the Commissioner is **VACATED** and **REMANDED** for further proceedings.

The parties are **ADVISED** that an appeal from this Final Order may be commenced by forwarding a written notice of appeal to the Clerk of the United States District Court, United States Courthouse, 600 Granby Street, Norfolk, Virginia 23510. This written notice must be received by the Clerk within sixty days from the date of this Final Order.

The Clerk is **REQUESTED** to provide a copy of this Order to all parties.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/
Arenda L. Wright Allen
United States District Judge

January 23, 2025 Norfolk, Virginia